

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
HELD ON TUESDAY, 26 JUNE 2012**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Andreas Constantinides, Ali Bakir, Lee Chamberlain, Ingrid Cranfield, Dogan Delman, Christiana During, Patricia Ekechi, Ahmet Hasan, Ertan Hurer, Nneka Keazor, Anne-Marie Pearce, Martin Prescott, George Savva MBE and Toby Simon

ABSENT Paul McCannah

OFFICERS: Izabella Grogan (Legal Services Representative), Andy Higham (Planning Decisions Manager), Steve Jaggard (Traffic & Transportation) and Aled Richards (Head of Development Management) Jane Creer (Secretary)

Also Attending: Tony Dey, Vice Chairman, Conservation Advisory Committee
Councillor Del Goddard, Cabinet Member for Business & Regeneration
Approximately 30 members of the public, applicants, agents and their representatives and observers
Ward Councillors: Councillor Jon Kaye

44

WELCOME AND LEGAL STATEMENT

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting, and the Legal Services representative read a statement regarding the order and conduct of the meeting.

45

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor McCannah.

46

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

NOTED that Councillor Prescott declared a personal interest in application ref P12-00318PLA – 33-35, Fox Lane, London, N13 4AB, as he was a member of the Fox Lane and District Residents' Association.

47

MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMITTEE 29 MAY 2012

AGREED the minutes of the Planning Committee held on Tuesday 29 May 2012 as a correct record.

48

REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (REPORT NO. 021)

RECEIVED the report of the Assistant Director, Planning and Environmental Protection (Report No. 021).

49

ORDER OF AGENDA

AGREED that the order of the agenda be varied to accommodate members of the public in attendance at the meeting. The minutes follow the order of the meeting.

50

P12-00318PLA - 33-35, FOX LANE, LONDON, N13 4AB

NOTED

1. Introduction by the Planning Decisions Manager, clarifying the application and the location of the property and that it was within the Lakes Estate Conservation Area, and highlighting differences from the previous refused application.
2. Apologies were given that in para 4.2 of the report points attributed to the Fox Lane and District Residents' Association had been made by the Lakes Estate Conservation Area Study Group, and vice versa.
3. The Lakes Estate Conservation Area Study Group had raised additional concerns on parking grounds, and particularly the application for dropped kerbs and cross-overs for two off road parking areas which it considered would not maintain or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area.
4. The deputation of Mr Andy Barker (Chairman, Fox Lane and District Residents' Association), including the following points:
 - a. The main concerns were that this property was already overdeveloped and the addition of bedrooms in the loft space would be overdevelopment.
 - b. The property would have 23 bedrooms and 4 full-time and 10 part-time staff, but there was no indication of the number of residents and this should be clarified.
 - c. There would be a large number of people in a property that started as a single family home who would add to the area's population.
 - d. Amenity space was limited.
 - e. There were safety concerns as there was only one escape route from the loft; residents may be infirm and a dangerous situation may arise. The Care Quality Commission review of May 2012 did not comment on the safety of residents, and he questioned who was responsible for this issue.

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

- f. There should be no lift gear above the roof line and this should be a condition of any planning permission.
 - g. The 2 dropped kerbs should be rejected, and the wall and garden should be reinstated, which would improve the appearance of the area.
 - h. This was unnecessary overdevelopment in a road where there were already too many care homes and houses in multiple occupation.
5. The response of Mr Anthony Byrne of Anthony Byrne Associates (the Agent), including the following points:
- a. The proposals had been designed with the assistance of Planning officers and the Conservation Department and included traditional and accurate features with appropriate scaling and materials. The design met all relevant criteria.
 - b. Nine specialist reports had been submitted to support the application.
 - c. The perception of overdevelopment was subjective; this would be 5 bedrooms in a vast empty loft space of 2 former houses, and a single storey rear extension and conservatory on the ground floor.
 - d. The windows and conservatory would be constructed with timber not uPVC.
 - e. The maximum garden space had been preserved and would be landscaped.
 - f. The exits complied fully with regulations.
 - g. The report showed that there would be no impact on 31 Fox Lane.
6. The statement of Mr Tony Dey, Vice Chairman of Conservation Advisory Group (CAG) to confirm that CAG considered the proposals to be overdevelopment. The off street parking areas would not maintain or enhance the conservation area and he would ask the Committee to look at this aspect of the application. This was an important corner site on Fox Lane, and not reinstating the wall and garden to the front would have a detrimental effect. If the Committee were minded to approve the application he would like a condition to be added that there be no lift gear above the roof line.
7. Members' concerns in respect of the visual effect of a potential projection of the proposed lift shaft above the roof line even though not shown. In response, it was agreed to impose a further condition stating that the lift shaft should not project above the roof line.
8. The Planning Decisions Manager responded to Members' queries regarding building regulations, and existing parking and means of access.
9. Members' debate and discussion regarding the acceptability of the hard standing and vehicular crossovers, and the further advice of Planning and Traffic & Transportation officers in respect of this aspect of the application, and confirmation that if the 4 parking spaces could not be provided then the planning permission could not be implemented. No further action on the hard standing was considered necessary.

10. The support of the majority of the Committee for the officers' recommendation: 8 votes for and 5 against.

AGREED that subject to the completion of the Section 106 agreement to secure a travel plan and £3,000 toward Travel Plan monitoring costs, the Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager be authorised to grant planning permission, subject to the conditions set out in the report and additional condition below, for the reasons set out in the report.

Additional Condition

No part of the proposed lift shaft forming including any ancillary equipment housing, shall project above any plane of the resultant roof of the building.
Reason: in the interests of safeguarding the character and appearance of the Conservation Area as well as the setting and appearance of the building in the surrounding area.

51

TP/11/1602 - 1, HANSART WAY, ENFIELD, EN2 8NB

NOTED

1. Introduction by the Planning Decisions Manager, clarifying the site and application.
2. Receipt of one further letter of objection from residents of Hardy Way, illustrated by photos and distributed to all Committee Members. Concerns raised included overlooking, loss of privacy, out of keeping with the area, dominating on the skyline, setting a precedent, destruction of valuable trees, effect on children, increased traffic, inadequate parking and adding to strain on services.
3. An apology that the reference to Councillor Vince at para 4.2.2 was incorrect and should have referred to Councillor Pearce who had requested that the application be reported to Planning Committee in the light of residents' concerns.
4. Inclusion of stopping up referred to at para 6.47 into the Section 106 Agreement.
5. The Chairman's confirmation that as deputations had been requested on behalf of two groups with different interests, he proposed to permit 4 minutes' speaking time to each group.
6. The deputation of Mr Andy Corgan, on behalf of residents of Hardy Way, including the following points:
 - a. The height of the blocks would be increased by 37%. They would show above the existing tree line, and at the closest point would be only 17 metres from Hardy Way bungalows.

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

- b. Residents of the flats would be able to see directly into rooms of Hardy Way properties. Even frosted balconies were not considered acceptable.
 - c. There were serious concerns about safety and security of children who would be overlooked in their gardens.
 - d. The development would be an eyesore and a huge monstrosity which may be seen from as far away as Trent Park, and its approval would set a precedent.
 - e. The development would block light, as acknowledged in the report.
 - f. More vehicles would add to congestion and noise.
 - g. Parking already exceeded demand and there would be overspill parking to The Ridgeway, leading to narrowing of that important road, and having an effect on Chase Farm Hospital access.
 - h. Density of the population would be too high, and there would be an unnecessary strain on local services.
 - i. The development would require the destruction of valuable trees which were important for local ecology.
7. The deputation of Ms Tracey Tarpey on behalf of the Directors of Hansart Way (Management) Limited, including the following points:
- a. Hansart Way Management Limited and leaseholders of Hansart Way had held a meeting and the majority had concerns regarding the application. They feared the unknown and what effect the proposals could have on the buildings and the footings. There were too many unanswered questions and they felt unable to support the proposals.
 - b. There were concerns regarding the proposed steel structure and vibrations which may be detrimental to the buildings. A car crash in 2008 had shaken the building for almost 5 minutes and it was feared that drilling steel into the ground and the installation of lifts could cause damage.
 - c. Running cables through the floors could cause damage and loss of power to flats.
 - d. There would be an increase in noise from the lifts, which would particularly affect the internal flats. The buildings dated from the 1960s when soundproofing was poorer.
 - e. Loss of a number of mature trees was unacceptable. This would be detrimental to the wildlife in the area and it would take many years for replacement trees to reach maturity.
 - f. There would be loss of a public footpath to create parking space, which would affect the public and put them at risk.
8. The statement of Councillor Jon Kaye, Highlands Ward Councillor, including the following points:
- a. The development would be very intrusive, especially for residents of Hardy Way. This would be a higher block than any other in the area.
 - b. There had been a number of objections from people who lived in this block at 1, Hansart Way.
 - c. Adding an additional storey would make this a huge eyesore which if the trees were removed would be appalling where it backed onto Hardy Way, where there were bungalows very close by.

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

- d. The balconies proposed for all flats would lead to a huge loss of privacy as they would look down onto Hardy Way homes and gardens.
 - e. There would be a loss of greenery and it would not be possible to replace the mature trees which acted as a screen at the moment.
 - f. The development would make a huge difference to the area and the receipt of 32 objections was proof that a lot of people were concerned.
- 9. The applicant declined to speak in response.
 - 10. The response of the Planning Decisions Manager to Members' concerns regarding overlooking.
 - 11. Councillor Hurer's proposal, seconded by Councillor Chamberlain, that a Members' site visit be arranged, supported by a majority of the Committee: 5 votes for, 2 against, and 6 abstentions.
 - 12. The Chairman's confirmation that as deputations had been heard at this meeting they would not be received again when the application was determined at a future meeting of the Committee.

AGREED that a decision on the application be deferred to allow Members to make a site visit.

Reason: in order for Members to see the relationships between the development and neighbouring properties, its presence in the wider area and the effect on trees within the curtilage.

52

P12-00862PLA - 9, MORSON ROAD, ENFIELD, EN3 4NQ

NOTED

- 1. The introduction by the Planning Decisions Manager
- 2. Receipt of letter from the Environment Agency raising no objection, and the response to a number of conditions the Environment Agency requested, the timing element of which could affect the delivery and implementation of the proposed scheme.
- 3. An alteration to the recommendation to enable resolution of timing constraints contained in the Environment Agency's conditions.
- 4. The Planning Decisions Manager responded to Members' queries including confirmation in respect of the land use designation, that the Lee Navigation site of special scientific interest was located adjacent, and that there had been extensive negotiations with the Environment Agency regarding flooding.
- 5. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that Members resolved to grant conditional planning permission but to defer final determination to Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager to enable resolution of timing constraints contained in the Environment Agency's conditions.

53

P12-00940PLA - NORTH MIDDLESEX HOSPITAL, STERLING WAY, LONDON, N18 1QX

NOTED

1. The introduction by the Planning Decisions Manager.
2. Receipt of letters raising concerns, which were acknowledged by officers and Members, but were not planning considerations.
3. Additional conditions.
4. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that planning permission be granted, subject to the conditions set out in the report, and the additional conditions below, for the reasons set out in the report.

Additional Conditions

1. Green Roofs

The development shall not commence until details of the biodiversity (green) roof(s) shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The biodiversity (green) roof(s) shall be:

- a. Biodiversity based with extensive substrate base (depth 80-150mm);
- b. Sited in accordance with plan No. A543 / 2 / 2 / 1 hereby approved; and,
- c. Planted/seeded with an agreed mix of species within the first planting season following practical completion of the building works.

The biodiversity (green) roof shall not be used for any recreational purpose and access shall only be for the purposes of the maintenance and repair or means of emergency escape. Details shall include full ongoing management plan and maintenance strategy/schedule for the green/brown roof to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Should, following further assessment, the biodiversity (green) roof(s) be found to be not suitable for the development: a revised scheme of green roof(s) shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the details shall also include a response to sub-points a) to c) above. Details shall include full ongoing management plan and maintenance strategy/ schedule for the green/brown roof to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and maintained as such thereafter.

Reason: To minimise the impact of the development on the ecological value of the area and to ensure the development provides the maximum possible provision towards the creation of habitats and valuable areas for biodiversity in accordance with Policy CP36 of the Core Strategy, the Biodiversity Action Plan and Policies 5.11 & 7.19 of the London Plan.

2. Energy Performance Certificate

Following practical completion of works a final Energy Performance Certificate shall be submitted to an approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Where applicable, a Display Energy Certificate shall be submitted within 18 months following first occupation.

Reason: In the interest of sustainable development and to ensure that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that CO₂ emission reduction targets are met in accordance with Policy CP20 of the Core Strategy, Policies 5.2, 5.3, 5.7 & 5.9 of the London Plan 2011 and the NPPF.

3. Energy Efficiency

The development shall not commence until a detailed 'Energy Statement' has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Submitted details will demonstrate the energy efficiency of the development and shall provide for no less than 25% total CO₂ emissions arising from the operation of a development and its services over Part L of Building Regs 2010. The Energy Statement should outline how the reductions are achieved through the use of Fabric Energy Efficiency performance, energy efficient fittings, and the use of renewable technologies.

The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and maintained as such thereafter. Following practical completion of works a final Energy Performance Certificate shall be submitted to an approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Where applicable, a Display Energy Certificate shall be submitted within 18 months following first occupation.

Reason: In the interest of sustainable development and to ensure that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that CO₂ emission reduction targets are met in accordance with Policy CP20 of the Core Strategy, Policies 5.2, 5.3, 5.7 & 5.9 of the London Plan 2011 and the NPPF.

4. Renewable Energy Provision

The renewable energy technologies (CHP and PV) as detailed within the 'Energy Strategy' shall be installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the development. The development shall not commence until details of the renewable energy technologies shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include:

- a. The resulting scheme, together with any flue/stack details, machinery/apparatus location, specification and operational details;

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

- b. A management plan and maintenance strategy/schedule for the operation of the technologies;
- c. A servicing plan including times, location, frequency, method (and any other details the Local Planning Authority deems necessary);
- d. (if applicable) A noise assessment and air-quality assessment regarding the operation of the technology; and

Should, following further assessment, the approved renewable energy option be found to be no-longer suitable a revised scheme of renewable energy provision shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any superstructure works commencing on site, the details shall also include a response to sub-points a) to d) above. The final agreed scheme shall be installed and operation prior to the first occupation of the development.

The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.

Reason: In the interest of sustainable development and to ensure that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that CO₂ emission reduction targets by renewable energy are met in accordance with Policy CP20 of the Core Strategy, Policies 5.2, 5.3, 5.7 & 5.9 of the London Plan 2011 and the NPPF.

5. Energy Centre

The energy centre / plant room located at Level 1 shall be installed and operational prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved.

Reason: In the interest of securing the centralised energy centre for the site and its sustainable connection to the various uses within the development in accordance with Policy CP20 of the Core Strategy, Policies 5.2, 5.3, 5.7 & 5.9 of the London Plan 2011 and the NPPF.

6. Combined Heat and Power and Associated Infrastructure

The development shall not commence until details of the Combined Heat and Power facility and infrastructure shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include:

- a. location, specification, flue arrangement, operation/management strategy; and
- b. the method of how the facility and infrastructure shall be designed to allow for the future connection to any neighbouring heating and cooling network

The facility and infrastructure shall be operational/installed prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved.

The Combined Heat and Power facility and infrastructure shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved and shall be maintained as such thereafter.

Reason: To ensure the Combined Heat and Power facility and infrastructure is provided appropriately and so that it is designed in a manner which allows

for the future connection to a district system in accordance with Policy CP20 of the Core Strategy, Policies 5.2, 5.3, 5.7 & 5.9 of the London Plan and the NPPF.

7. BREEAM Rating

Evidence confirming that the development achieves a BREEAM 2011 *Healthcare* rating of no less than 'Excellent' shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local planning Authority. The evidence required shall be provided in the following formats and at the following times:

- a. a design stage assessment, conducted by an accredited Assessor and supported by relevant BRE interim certificate, shall be submitted at pre-construction stage prior to the commencement of superstructure works on site; and,
- b. a post construction assessment, conducted by an accredited Assessor and supported by relevant BRE accreditation certificate, shall be submitted following the practical completion of the development and prior to the first occupation.

The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the details so approved, shall be maintained as such thereafter and no change there from shall take place without the prior approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of addressing climate change and to secure sustainable development in accordance with the strategic objectives of the Council and Policies 3.5, 5.2, 5.3, 5.7, 5.9, 5.12, 5.13, 5.15, 5.16, 5.18, 5.20 & 6.9 of the London Plan 2011 as well as the NPPF.

8. Green Procurement Plan

The development shall not commence until a Green Procurement Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Green Procurement Plan shall demonstrate how the procurement of materials for the development will promote sustainability, including by use of low impact, locally and/or sustainably sourced, reused and recycled materials through compliance with the requirements of MAT1, MAT2 and MAT3 of the Code for Sustainable Homes and/or relevant BREEAM standard. The Plan must also include strategies to secure local procurement and employment opportunities. Wherever possible, this should include targets and a process for the implementation of this plan through the development process.

The development shall be constructed and procurement plan implemented strictly in accordance with the Green Procurement Plan so approved.

Reason: To ensure sustainable procurement of materials which minimises the negative environmental impacts of construction in accordance with Policy CP22 and CP23 of the Core Strategy and Policy 5.3 of the London Plan.

9. Considerate Constructors

The development shall not commence until an undertaking to meet with best practice under the Considerate Constructors Scheme and achieve formal

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

certification has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of the development does not adversely impact on the surrounding area and to minimise disruption to neighbouring properties.

10. Construction Site Waste Management

The development shall not commence until a Site Waste Management Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The plan should include as a minimum:

- i. Target benchmarks for resource efficiency set in accordance with best practice
- ii. Procedures and commitments to minimize non-hazardous construction waste at design stage. Specify waste minimisation actions relating to at least 3 waste groups and support them by appropriate monitoring of waste.
- iii. Procedures for minimising hazardous waste
- iv. Monitoring, measuring and reporting of hazardous and non-hazardous site waste production according to the defined waste groups (according to the waste streams generated by the scope of the works)
- v. Procedures and commitments to sort and divert waste from landfill in accordance with the waste hierarchy (reduce; reuse; recycle; recover) according to the defined waste groups

In addition no less than **85%** by weight or by volume of non-hazardous construction, excavation and demolition waste generated by the development has been diverted from landfill

Reason: To maximise the amount of waste diverted from landfill consistent with the waste hierarchy and strategic targets set by Policies 5.17, 5.18, 5.19, 5.20 of the London Plan and the draft North London Waste Plan.

54

P12-01108LDC - 75, ROEDEAN AVENUE, ENFIELD, EN3 5QN

NOTED

1. The proposal constituted permitted development, but was presented to Planning Committee as it had been submitted by the Council's Plan Drawing Service.
2. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that a Lawful Development Certificate be issued as the proposal constitutes 'permitted development' by virtue of Article 3 and Schedule 2 Part 1 Classes B, C and G of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended) by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No.2) (England) Order 2008).

55

P12-01183PLA - 92, GORDON HILL, ENFIELD, EN2 0QS

NOTED

1. The proposal was presented to Planning Committee as it had been submitted by the Council's Plan Drawing Service.
2. Receipt of a revised plan reducing the depth of the extension in line with extension at no. 90, and confirmation that officers were satisfied that there would be no undue impact on neighbouring properties.
3. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that planning permission be granted, subject to the conditions set out in the report, for the reason set out in the report.

56

URGENT ITEMS

The reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Amendment Regulations 2002, with the exception of the reports for the following applications: P12-00456PLA, P12-00457HER, P12-00916PLA, and P12-00923HER. The reason for urgency was set out on the supplementary agenda.

57

P12-00456PLA - 84-6, 92, 94, 98, 100, 110-14, 122, 128-30, HERTFORD ROAD, LONDON, N9 7HL

NOTED

1. The introduction by the Planning Decisions Manager in respect of applications P12-00456PLA, P12-00457HER, P12-00916PLA and P12-00923HER, which would be discussed together, but with a separate decision made on each application.
2. Apologies for incorrect information included in para 2.1 of the reports in respect of the address numbers to which applications referred. The applications relating to the properties managed by Newlon involved nos. 84, 86, 92, 94, 98, 100, 110, 112, 114, 122, 128, 130.
3. The Council and Newlon Housing Trust had been working together, but there were differences between the proposed schemes, and officers considered it important to have uniformity.

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 26.6.2012

4. The Conservation Advisory Group supported the Council's proposals.
5. An amendment to recommendations that a final decision be delegated to officers in each case, as there may also be more amendments made to the Council's schemes to achieve uniformity.
6. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that Members endorsed the concerns contained in the report and delegated a final decision on the application to the Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager pending further negotiation to obtain revisions to accord with the Council scheme.

58

P12-00457HER - 84, HERTFORD ROAD, ENFIELD, EN3 5AL

NOTED

1. Information at para 2.1 was incorrect. The applications related to the properties managed by Newlon and involved nos. 84, 86, 92, 94, 98, 100, 110, 112, 114, 122, 128, 130.
2. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that Members endorsed the concerns contained in the report and delegated a final decision on the application to the Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager pending further negotiation to obtain revisions to accord with the Council scheme.

59

P12-00916PLA - 88, 90, 96, 102, 104, 106, 108, 116, 118, 120, 124, 126 & 132, HERTFORD ROAD, LONDON, N9 7HL

NOTED

1. The amendment to the recommendation.
2. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that Members resolved to grant planning permission but in the light of the ongoing discussions with Newlon and the desire to achieve uniformity in the two approaches, delegate the final determination to the Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager to ensure any necessary alterations are reflected in the Council proposals, subject to the conditions set out in the report, for the reason set out in the report.

60

P12-00923HER - 88, 90, 96, 102, 104, 106, 108, 116, 118, 120, 124, 126 & 132, HERTFORD ROAD, LONDON, N9 7HL

NOTED

1. The amendment to the recommendation.
2. The unanimous support of the Committee for the officers' recommendation.

AGREED that Members resolved to grant listed building consent but in the light of the ongoing discussions with Newlon and the desire to achieve uniformity in the two approaches, delegate the final determination to the Head of Development Management / Planning Decisions Manager to ensure any necessary alterations are reflected in the Council proposals, subject to the conditions set out in the report, for the reason set out in the report.

61

APPEAL INFORMATION

NOTED the information on Town Planning application appeals received from 16/5/12 to 7/6/12 summarised in tables.

62

PLANNING PANEL - APPLICATION REF P12-01082PLA - SALMONS BROOK, ENFIELD N21, N9 AND N18

NOTED the arrangements for the Planning Panel meeting:

Date: Monday 9 July 2012

Time: 7:00pm

Venue: Highlands School, 148 Worlds End Lane, London, N21 1QQ

Membership: Labour – Councillors Constantinides, Ekechi and Keazor
Conservative – Councillors Delman and Hurer
(Chairman – Councillor Delman)

63

PLANNING PANEL - APPLICATION REF P12-00732PLA & P12-00733HER - MIDDLESEX UNIVERSITY, QUEENSWAY, ENFIELD, EN3 4SA

AGREED the following arrangements for the Planning Panel meeting:

Date: To be confirmed depending on availability of the venue – 30 July, 1 August, 6 August or 9 August

Time: 7:30pm

Venue: To be confirmed

Membership: Labour – Councillors Constantinides, Cranfield and Savva
Conservative – Pearce and Prescott
Chairman: Councillor Cranfield

64

PLANNING PANEL RE ORDNANCE ROAD LIBRARY APPLICATION

NOTED the advice of the Head of Development Management that an application would be submitted shortly in respect of the redevelopment of Ordnance Road Library.

AGREED that a Planning Panel meeting be organised in respect of this application at a date to be notified and a venue to be confirmed, with the following membership:

Proposed Membership: Labour – Councillors Bakir, Keazor and Simon
Conservative – Chamberlain and Hurer